Case Report: The Coexistence of Gonadal Dysgenesis With Mayer-rokitansky-küster-hauser Syndrome, and Dandy-Walker Variant
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Background: Gonadal dysgenesis, the most common cause of primary amenorrhea, is characterized by absent or underdeveloped ovaries. Although the coexistence of gonadal dysgenesis and Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) has been reported, it is still quite infrequent. To the extent that authors searched, just one study reported the association between Rokitansky sequence and Dandy-Walker malformation.

Clinical Presentation and Intervention: We aimed to report a case with gonadal dysgenesis, MRKH, and the Dandy-Walker variant. In this care report, the authors reported a 15-year-old girl with primary amenorrhea and underdeveloped secondary sexual properties. Her karyotype was 46, XX. The abdominopelvic MRI without contrast demonstrated bilateral ovarian agenesis and no uterus or cervix. Vagina was normal in length. Brain MRI was consistent with the Dandy-Walker variant.

Conclusion: Although some affected chromosomal regions have been identified, further genetic analyses should be performed to elucidate the probable association between these anomalies.
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ABSTRACT

Background: Gonadal dysgenesis, the most common cause of primary amenorrhea, is characterized by absent or underdeveloped ovaries. Although the coexistence of gonadal dysgenesis and Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) has been reported, it is still quite infrequent. To the extent that authors searched, just one study reported the association between Rokitansky sequence and Dandy-Walker malformation.

Clinical Presentation and Intervention: We aimed to report a case with gonadal dysgenesis, MRKH, and the Dandy-Walker variant. In this care report, the authors reported a 15-year-old girl with primary amenorrhea and underdeveloped secondary sexual properties. Her karyotype was 46, XX. The abdominopelvic MRI without contrast demonstrated bilateral ovarian agenesis and no uterus or cervix. Vagina was normal in length. Brain MRI was consistent with the Dandy-Walker variant.

Conclusion: Although some affected chromosomal regions have been identified, further genetic analyses should be performed to elucidate the probable association between these anomalies.
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Introduction

Gonadal dysgenesis is depicted by the absent or underdeveloped ovaries in females with primary amenorrhea and leads to variable degrees of hypogonadism and impuberism [1]. Patients with gonadal dysgenesis can have different karyotypes in the forms of 46 XX, 45 XO, mosaicism, or deletion of a specific part of the X chromosome [2].

Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome, the second most common cause of primary amenorrhea following gonadal dysgenesis, is related to the congenital absence or hypoplasia of the uterus and upper two-thirds of the vagina in a female with normal phenotype and karyotype. It affects nearly 1 out of 5,000 newborn girls [3]. MRKH syndrome can be whether isolated (type 1) or associated with renal and skeletal malformations, auditory defects, and occasionally, anomalies of the heart and digits (type 2) [4].

Dandy Walker Complex (DWC) is a Central Nervous System (CNS) malformation, which comprises of four types: 1- Dandy-Walker malformation marked by a triad of agenesis or hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis, cystic dilatation of the fourth ventricle, and an enlarged posterior fossa; 2- Dandy-Walker variant associated with vermis hypoplasia, cystic dilatation of the fourth ventricle, and normal posterior fossa; 3- Mega cisternae Magna delineated by normal vermis and fourth ventricle but large posterior fossa; and 4- Posterior fossa arachnoid cyst [5].

Although the coexistence of gonadal dysgenesis and MRKH has been reported, it is still quite infrequent. To the extent that authors searched, just one study reported the association between Rokitansky sequence and Dandy-Walker malformation [6]. Here, the authors aimed to report a case with gonadal dysgenesis, MRKH, and the Dandy-Walker variant altogether.

Case Presentation

A 15-year-old girl was presented with primary amenorrhea. She had been experiencing two-day-lasting episodes of fatigue, lethargy, abdominal pain, and monthly vaginal discharge for two years.

Her birth weight was 2400 grams. She underwent intestinal surgery at two days of age because of excessive vomiting due to duodenal atresia. She was also hospitalized due to asthma and allergy four years before the recent attendance at this clinic. Moreover, due to blurred vision and repetitive drop attacks remaining for several months, brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) was performed and revealed the Dandy-Walker variant. Subsequently, she was on piracetam, folic acid, and vitamin E for six months, which was followed by the resolution of symptoms and cessation of treatment four years before admission. The patient was the firstborn of first-degree cousin marriage. She was born preterm by Natural Vaginal Delivery (NVD). The mother had been pregnant five times, three of which led to NVD, and she had had two uninduced abortions.

On examination, the patient was in thelarche stage three and pubarche stage two. Abdominal obesity and striae were identified. Axillary hair was very sparse. She was 151 cm in height and 65 kg in weight with a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 28.5 (percentile= 95th). Her father’s and mother’s heights were 177 and 155 cm, respectively.

On pelvic transabdominal ultrasound, the uterus was not seen and both ovaries looked atrophic, small, and underdeveloped with no follicles, but the vagina was normal. The cervix and uterus could not be found. There was no evidence of free fluid in the pelvic cavity during both sonographies. Other organs seemed normal on ultrasound.

Her karyotype indicated normal female 46, XX. Follicle-Stimulating Hormone (FSH) level was above normal. All other hormone levels were within normal...
In addition, on non-contrast abdominopelvic MRI, no uterus or cervix was noted. No ovarian structure could be found, as well. The vaginal length was normal. The bladder and muscular and bony structures appeared unremarkable. No ascites or pathologic lymphadenopathy were present (Figures 1 and 2).

**Discussion**

Gonadal dysgenesis is the most common cause of primary amenorrhea. Early defects in the formation of the primordial follicle or differentiation of the ovary may result in gonadal dysgenesis. Chromosomal abnormalities in patients with gonadal dysgenesis range from microdeletion in the X chromosome to aneuploidy [7].

MRKH syndrome arises from an interruption in embryonic development of Mullerian ducts in an otherwise normal phenotype and normal 46, XX female karyotype. Genital tract abnormalities range from upper vaginal atresia to complete Mullerian agenesis and may be accompanied by urinary tract and/or skeletal anomalies [2].

Meticulous research, done using Google scholar and Pubmed, revealed 23 case reports (31 patients) since 2003 concerned with gonadal dysgenesis and MRKH co-occurrence (Table 2) [1-3, 8-27]. Almost all of them were presented in early adulthood with primary amenorrhea and underdeveloped secondary sexual features. Only two cases (cases 20 and 23) out of 31 were 45 XO and three (cases 12, 19, and 25) of them had specific deletions on chromosome X. Two karyotypes showed mosaicism (cases 2 and 26) and the rest were 46XX (cases 1–3, 8–11, 13–18, 21, 22, 24, and 27). Plevraki et al. studied six patients with MRKH syndrome and primary amenorrhea. Secondary sexual characteristics were well developed in all except patient number 6. Imaging revealed the presence of bilateral ovaries in patients number 2, 3, 4, and 5 and only the left ovary in patient number 1. The left fallopian tube was absent and the uterus was hypoplastic in patient number 1. The fallopian tubes were detected bilaterally but the uterus was hypoplastic in patients 2, 3, and 4.

The left fallopian tube was aplastic and the uterus was unicorne in patient number 5. No gonadal tissue was identified in patient number 6 and the fallopian tubes and uterus were hypoplastic [16]. Considering the other 25 cases, the uterus was reported hypoplastic in six cases (cases 8, 10, 11, 18, 21, and 25), rudimentary in 3 cases (cases 2, 13, and 19) and absent in the rest (cases 1, 3, 24, 26, 27, 9, 12, 14, 15, 17, 20, 22, and 23). Ovaries were reported dysgenetic in seven cases (cases 1, 2, 11, 14, 15, 18, and 25) and agenetic in the rest (cases 3, 8, 22-24, 26, 27, 9, 10, 12, 13, 17, and 19-21). Although the state of fallopian tubes was not reported in some cases (cases 8, 12, 19, and 22–27), they were bilaterally normal in four cases (cases 2 and 13-15).

The current case differed from others to some extent. First, the coexisted brain anomaly was the Dandy-Walker variant, not the Dandy-Walker malformation, which was reported by Pillay et al. Second, the current patient underwent intestinal surgery at two days of age owing to duodenal atresia [28].

Duodenal atresia, the leading cause of inborn duodenal obstruction, seems to be the result of defective duodenal recanalization [29, 30]. It is frequently accompanied by conditions, such as Down’s syndrome, cardiac anomalies, VACTERL (vertebral, anorectal, tracheo-oesophageal, renal, and limb) association, annular pancreas, and

**Table 1. The patient’s blood test results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Normal Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mIU/l)</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.3-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free T4 (pmol/l)</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>9-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH Serum (IU/l)</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>1.1-77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (IU/l)</td>
<td>115.90*</td>
<td>1.2-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol (pg/ml)</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>9-281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolactin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0-51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mIU/L: Milli-International Units Per Liter; pmol/L: Picomoles Per Liter, IU/L: International Units Per Liter, FSH: Follicle-Stimulating Hormone; TSH: Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone; pg/mL: Picograms Per Milliliter; ng/mL: Nanograms Per Milliliter
malrotation [31, 32]. The incidence of duodenal atresia has been reported as 1 in 10,000 live births [33]. The simultaneous presence of duodenal atresia with gonadal dysgenesis and the Dandy-Walker variant has not been reported so far.

**Conclusion**

In this study, the authors observed that although the Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome was limited to the genital system by definition, it may be associated with other congenital disorders, which necessitates the need for the assessment of other organs in these patients. Moreover, the pathogenesis of the coexistence of gonadal dysgenesis, MRKH syndrome, and the Dandy-Walker variant has not been identified so far. Although some affected chromosomal regions have been identified in this era, further genetic analyses should be performed to elucidate the probable association between these anomalies.
Table 2. A literature review of published cases of coexisting MRKH syndrome and gonadal dysgenesis (since 2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Author (Ref)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age of Presentation</th>
<th>Karyotype</th>
<th>Uterus</th>
<th>Ovaries</th>
<th>Fallopian Tube</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Shahid [20]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>45 XO</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nandy et al. [21]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46 XX</td>
<td>Hypoplastic</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>Not visualized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jha et al. [22]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kisu et al. [2]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46 XX</td>
<td>Rudimentary</td>
<td>Dysgenetic</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kiran &amp; Jamil [23]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45XO</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Manne et al. [24]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Białka et al. [25]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Hypoplastic</td>
<td>Dysgenetic</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Meena et al. [26]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45X/46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Shah et al. [3]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kebaili et al. [9]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bousfiha et al. [1]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Dysgenetic</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tatar et al. [10]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>34&amp;23</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Hypoplastic</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>Hypoplastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Güven et al. [12]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45X/46X del X (p11.21)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dede et al. [13]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Rudimentary</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Colombani et al. [14]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Dysgenetic</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Kumar et al. [27]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Rt side, Agenetic</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Marrakchi et al. [15]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Dysgenetic</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Plevraki et al. [16]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>6 patients</td>
<td>46XX, TSPY1 in 2 patients</td>
<td>Hypoplastic</td>
<td>Agenetic in patient 6. In patients 2,3,4 was NL. Rt agenetic in patient 1.</td>
<td>Aplastic; NL in patients 2,3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Kaya et al. [17]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Lt Agenetic</td>
<td>Rt NL, Lt hypoplastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Mégarbané et al. [18]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>16, 17</td>
<td>46XX</td>
<td>Hypoplastic</td>
<td>Dysgenetic</td>
<td>Hypoplastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Aydos et al. [19]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46X, del(X) (pter- q22)</td>
<td>Rudimentary</td>
<td>Agenetic</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR: Not Reported; NL: Normal; Rt: Right; Lt: Left; TSPY1: Testis-Specific Y-Encoded Protein 1
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