

Caspian Journal of Neurological Sciences

Journal Review Form

Title of the paper: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Please fill in the review form and submit

Title

Is the title relevant to the journal area of interest? Is it contemporary and interesting for researches?*

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Abstract + keywords

Are all required components included in the abstract? Are the keyword appropriately chosen?

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Aim

Is the aim explicitly stated in the Introduction? Is its formulation clear and unambiguous?*

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: [* Click here to enter text.](#)

Structure

Is the paper's structure coherent? Is it in coherence with the goal of the paper?*

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: [*Click here to enter text.](#)

Materials and Methods

Are methods the author uses adequate and well used?*

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment : [*Click here to enter text.](#)

Discussion and Conclusion

Is it related the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?*

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Literature

Dose the author utilize relevant literature?*

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Author's knowledge

What is the level of author's knowledge? Does he/she utilize all recent contributions relevant to the topic?

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Length

IS the length of the paper adequate to the significance of the topic? Do you suggest shortening the paper without losing its value?*

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Figures and tables

Does the author use them suitably? Are legend and notations clear? *

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Writing style

Is it clear and understandable?*

- Insufficient
- Weak
- Good
- Very good
- Excellent

Written comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Further comments on the paper

Comment: * [Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendation

Recommendation

- Y- Suitable to be published
- R- Suitable for publishing after corrections
- N- Not suitable for publishing