Research Paper: Association Between Sunlight Exposure and Vitamin D Intake With Multiple Sclerosis Disability and Progression

Emad Fayyazi1,2,3, Vahid Shaygannejad1, Mohammad Bagher Maljaie1,4,5, Omid Mirmosayyeb1,2,*, Shervin Badihian1,2,3, Seyedeh Parisa Moosavian1,2,3

1. Neurosciences Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
2. Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
3. Medical Students Research Committee, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
4. Food Safety Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
5. Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

* Corresponding Author:
Omid Mirmosayyeb, MD Student
Address: Neurosciences Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
Tel: +98 (913) 2217622, Fax: +98 (31) 36201250
E-mail: omid.mirmosayyeb@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Some studies on Multiple Sclerosis (MS) have suggested that adequate vitamin D intake can modulate the course of MS and decreases its incidence. However, there are limited studies with conflicting findings on the relation between MS severity, its course, and vitamin D intake.

Objectives: The current study aimed to compare vitamin D intake and sunlight exposure between MS phenotypes and evaluate their association with disability and fatigue in each phenotype.

Materials & Methods: A total of 197 patients with MS were recruited from patients referring to MS clinic in Kashani Hospital in Isfahan, Iran. Subjects were assessed for sunlight exposure, vitamin D intake, physical activity, and fatigue. SPSS was used for statistical analysis. P<0.05 were set as significant.

Results: According to the obtained results, expanded disability status scale and fatigue scale scores in Primary-Progressive MS (PPMS) and Secondary-Progressive MS (SPMS) groups were higher than Relapsing-Remitting MS (RRMS) group. In addition, patients in RRMS group had higher scores of sun exposure and physical activity, compared to SPMS and PPMS groups. We found a negative correlation between the scores of fatigue scale and sunlight exposure scale in RRMS patients.

Conclusion: We found higher sunlight exposure in RRMS group and lower sunlight exposure in the PPMS group, while dietary vitamin D intake was not different between the groups. Further studies with larger sample sizes and stronger methodologies are required to evaluate our findings.
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Introduction

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic autoimmune disease of the Central Nervous System (CNS) [1], characterized by demyelinated lesions in the brain and spinal cord [2]. It has 3 clinical course types: Relapsing-Remitting MS (RRMS), Primary-Progressive MS (PPMS), and Secondary-Progressive MS (SPMS) [3, 4]. In RRMS, the patient experiences relapses associated with the formation of brain lesions, followed by remissions. In PPMS patients, the CNS neurons degenerate progressively and there are no relapses or remissions [4]. RRMS may progress to SPMS in some cases [4].

Various factors affect MS and its complex course [2]. The pathogenesis of this disease is still not fully recognized; however, a variety of responsible factors are suggested, including environment and nutrition [2, 5, 6]. In addition, MS patients may be at risk for various types of malnutrition or inappropriate diet which worsen their symptoms [6]. Dietary supplements are commonly used among these patients, although the efficacy of these supplements is unclear and requires further investigations [6, 7].

Studies on MS patients have suggested that taking adequate vitamin D can modulate the course of MS and leads to lower incidence of MS [8-10]. However, studies on the relationship between MS severity and MS course and vitamin D intake are limited and reported conflicting findings [11, 12]. Moreover, the main source of vitamin D in the body is sunlight exposure. Vitamin D is suggested to have an inverse association with the progression of MS [8, 13, 14]. Sunlight exposure and vitamin D intake and their relation with MS symptoms is not well investigated by researchers. Here, we aimed to compare sunlight exposure and vitamin D intake between MS phenotypes and evaluate their association with disability and fatigue in each phenotype.

Materials and Methods

Study design and participants

This was a cross-sectional investigation conducted in Isfahan, Iran, in June 2017. A total of 197 MS patients referring to MS Clinic in Kashani Hospital in Isfahan, Iran were recruited. Their brain and spinal cord were assessed by Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) to confirm the diagnosis of MS. We included subjects diagnosed with MS (all types) according to McDonald’s criteria [15]. An equal number of patients from each phenotype of the disease were enrolled in the study. The exclusion criteria consisted of comorbidities or chronic diseases, consuming cholecalciferol, calcium and mineral supplementation, multivitamin or Vitamin D rich foods within 3 months prior to the study, and living in other cities with different latitude.
**Procedure and measurements**

Demographic data and clinical features including sex, age, weight, height, Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) and systolic and diastolic blood pressure were collected from all study patients. Also, subjects were assessed for sunlight exposure, vitamin D intake, physical activity, and fatigue, as explained in the following paragraphs.

**Vitamin D intake assessment**

We assessed patients’ dietary intake, especially vitamin D and calcium by a 168-item Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ). We reported frequencies of food intakes on a daily, weekly, or monthly basis, over 3 months after enrollment. Calcium content of foods was derived by Nutritionist IV software (First Databank; Hearst; San Bruno; CA; USA). Some Iranian food items were used in the software in accordance with the Food Composition Table of Iran [16]. We evaluated Vitamin D intake considering the “Provisional Table on the Vitamin D Content of Foods” prepared by the United States Department of Agriculture [17].

**Sunlight exposure assessment**

The questionnaire developed by Glanz et al. was used to measure the mean score of sunlight exposure as well as the amount of time that the patients spend in the sun and lifestyle-related sun exposure habits during the first 3 months after enrollment [18]. It includes questions about the amount of time that patients spent outdoors or in the sun, Sun Protection Factor (SPF), use of sunscreen, skin pigmentation, and clothing type. The total scores of this inventory could range from 4 to 14. Higher scores indicate more sunlight exposure.

**Physical activity assessment**

We used a self-reported activity questionnaire developed by Aadahl et al. and calculated physical activity by multiplying the obtained score by hour and day. The scores of this questionnaire range from 0.9 (rest/sleep) to 6 (high physical activity). The questionnaire was previously used in studies conducted in Iran [19, 20].

**Fatigue Scale assessment**

Krupp et al. developed Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS) to assess the severity of fatigue in chronic diseases like MS and lupus [21]. The scores of this scale range from 1 (highly disagree) to 7 (highly agree/high fatigue levels). FSS evaluates fatigue in 9 items based on a Likert scale. The Persian version of this scale obtained the internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha) and test-retest reliability as 0.98 and 0.93, respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

Normal distribution of variables among SPMS, RRMS and PPMS participants was examined by Shapiro-Wilk test. All variables including EDSS, fatigue scale score, vitamin D intake and sunlight exposure scores were normally distributed. We used 1-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for comparing the mean scores of variables between MS subgroups. Sex and age were considered as covariates in 1-way ANOVA; however, they did not affect the results and therefore were excluded from the analysis. We used Pearson correlation test to assess the correlation between MS subtypes and fatigue scale, EDSS, vitamin D intake and sunlight exposure. SPSS was used for statistical analysis. P values of <0.05 were set as significant.

**Results**

A total of 197 MS patients were initially included and 71 of them were excluded because of comorbidities. The final study population included 42 patients of SPMS, RRMS, and PPMS. Demographic characteristics of cases are presented in Table 1. According to the presented data, age, gender, diastolic and systolic blood pressure did not have any statistical differences between SPMS, RRMS and PPMS groups, while BMI and weight were statistically different between the groups.

The Mean±SD scores of fatigue scale, EDSS, vitamin D intake and sunlight exposure are listed in Table 2. According to the results, EDSS and the scores of fatigue scale in the PPMS (4.69±1.78 and 53.00±2.02) and SPMS (4.31±2.04 and 42.95±12.84) groups were higher than the RRMS group (0.92±1.01 and 53.00±2.02). In addition, patients in the RRMS group had significantly higher scores of sunlight exposure (11.09±1.30) and physical activity (36.90±3.72), compared to the SPMS (9.40±1.84 and 34.95±4.61) and PPMS (7.28±2.00 and 32.00±1.01) groups. Vitamin D intake was not statistically different between the groups.

Pearson correlation test results of EDSS with respect to the scores of vitamin D intake and sunlight exposure in each group are presented in Table 3. We found a negative relationship between vitamin D intake and EDSS in the RRMS group (r=-0.345, P=0.025). Also, a negative correlation was found between sunlight exposure scores and EDSS in the RRMS (r=-0.796, P<0.001) and the PPMS (r=-0.894, P<0.001) groups. Pearson correlation test for the score of fatigue scale with respect to vita-
min D intake and sunlight exposure scores in each group are listed in Table 4. There was a negative correlation between fatigue scores and sunlight exposure scores in the RRMS (r=-0.402, P=0.008) and the PPMS (r=0.650, P<0.001) groups.

Discussion

MS phenotypes are clinically defined based on the different disease courses. The most common type of MS is RRMS, marked by relapses followed by periods of remission where symptoms improve or may disappear [4, 22]. In SPMS, patients experience continued worsening or stability of symptoms and in PPMS, symptoms worsen gradually [4, 22]. According to these differences, RRMS patients are expected to experience less disabilities and perform more physical activity. This explains our findings on lower EDSS and higher physical activity scale in the RRMS group, as well as greater weight and BMI in the PPMS group, due to less physical activity. Fatigue is the most frequently reported symptom by MS patients occurring in 50%-90% of cases [23, 24].

The present study showed higher scores of fatigue scale in PPMS group. This can be explained by the fact that the severity of fatigue is correlated with the disease severity and activity [24]. One of the environmental factors that affects the risk of developing MS is vitamin D [8, 25]. Inadequate vitamin D intake is correlated with a higher risk for MS [9, 26]. Also, higher vitamin D intake in dietary regimen leads to lower incidence of MS [10, 27]. On the other hand, increased risk of relapses is related to low serum levels of vitamin D [28, 29].

Simson et al. showed that a 10 nmol/L increase of serum levels of 25 (OH)-vitamin D reduces the risk for relapse up to 9%-12% in RRMS patients [30]. Moreover, it is shown that vitamin D serum levels are inversely associated with disease severity [31-33]. These findings confirm the immunomodulatory effect of vitamin D in MS. The serum levels of vitamin D were not evaluated in this study; however, the effect of vitamin D intake was not significantly different between the MS types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>SPMS</th>
<th>RRMS</th>
<th>PPMS</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDSS</td>
<td>4.31±2.04</td>
<td>0.92±1.01</td>
<td>4.69±1.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue Scale scores</td>
<td>42.95±12.84</td>
<td>34.61±14.17</td>
<td>53.00±2.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D intake, mcg/d</td>
<td>1.46±1.114</td>
<td>1.31±1.110</td>
<td>1.60±1.117</td>
<td>0.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunlight exposure scale scores</td>
<td>9.40±1.84</td>
<td>11.09±1.30</td>
<td>7.28±2.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity scale</td>
<td>34.95±6.61</td>
<td>36.90±3.72</td>
<td>32.00±1.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P was obtained by 1-way ANOVA.
According to cross-sectional studies, higher vitamin D level is also correlated with lower scores of EDSS in MS patients [32-34]. Additionally, Ascherio et al. measured the serum levels of 25 (OH)-vitamin D in a prospective study for 5 years, looking for brain lesions on MRI as well as relapses and EDSS. They found that vitamin D can affect disease progression and activity, because there was an inverse relationship between the serum levels of vitamin D and the disease severity [35]. This study found that higher vitamin D intake is inversely correlated with the scores of EDSS in RRMS patients, which is consistent with previous findings.

There is a correlation between sunlight exposure, skin color, use of sunscreen, sun avoidance behaviors, the geographical features of the region and the season [9]. It is strongly related to the serum levels of 25 (OH)-vitamin D and have immunosuppressive effects, both independently and due to vitamin D production [9, 36]. Investigations on the association of sunlight exposure and MS progression have reported inconsistent findings, mostly because of different measurement approaches and study designs. A case-control investigation revealed that a considerable number of patients have spent at least 2 hours outdoors per day in the summer during childhood, while another case-control study reported no relationship between the time spent outdoors in the summer and disease progression [37, 38].

In both studies, results were affected by selection bias. In contrast, recent studies have provided evidence on the protective effect of sunlight exposure for MS progression [39]. A study reported that MS risk is inversely correlated with sunlight exposure, using actinic skin damage for measuring sun exposure [13]. Another study reported similar findings using sunlight exposure questionnaires 12:53 PM. Similarly, a study on monozygotic twins showed lower sunlight exposure in MS cases, compared to their healthy twin [40].

Despite these findings, limited studies have evaluated the effect of sunlight exposure on MS progression and severity. Tremlett et al. prospectively followed a group of RRMS patients in southern Tasmania and evaluated the association between some environmental factors and MS relapse. They reported an inverse association between ultraviolet radiation and relapse rates after an average follow up of 2.3 years [41]. MS mortality is also seen to be lower in outdoor workers in areas with high sunlight intensity [42].

In this study, patients in RRMS and PPMS groups reported more fatigue when they were exposed to sunlight for fewer hours. Although none of the previous studies have evaluated the association between sunlight exposure, disability and fatigue, our results seem to be consistent with the theory of protective and immunomodulatory effect of sunlight exposure and ultraviolet radiation in MS [9].

**Conclusion**

This study evaluated the effect of adequate vitamin D intake and sunlight exposure on MS severity and course. In the RRMS patients, vitamin D intake was inversely correlated with the scores of EDSS. Also, we found that sunlight exposure is inversely associated with the scores
of EDSS and fatigue in PPMS and RRMS patients. Further studies with stronger methodologies and larger sample sizes are required to evaluate our findings.

One limitation to our study was that participants were not selected randomly and were not properly matched which may lead to selection bias. Also, the obtained associations may be interpreted inversely, due to the reverse causation bias that may have happened because of the study design. Eventually, sunlight exposure was measured using a questionnaire, while more precise methods like actinic damage may result in different findings. Despite these limitation, we tried to minimize the recall bias by conducting the study, prospectively. Also, we tried to include all MS types, while previous studies mostly focused on RRMS.
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